

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/528,356 | MARSAIS ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | YEVEGENY VALENROD | 1621 | |

All Participants:

(1) YEVEGENY VALENROD.

(2) James Voeller.

Date of Interview: 21 January 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 1:00pm

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

24, 25

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner contacted the attorney in order to discuss a proposed examiners amendment that would place the instant application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Yevgeny Valenrod/
Examiner, Art Unit 1621

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)